Hartree-Fock exchange and hybrid functionals in ONETEP

James C. Womack

University of Southampton, UK

**ONETEP** masterclass 2019

University of Warwick

### Preamble

# Slides will soon be available online at http://www.onetep.org/Main/Workshops

### See slides online for bibliography

### Hartree-Fock exchange and hybrid functionals

- Hybrid functionals depend on  $\{\psi_i\}$  via  $E_{\text{HFX}}$
- HFX corrects self-interaction error in Coulomb energy
- The most accurate XC functionals include HFX [1]

$$E_{\rm HFX} = -\sum_{i=1,j=1}^{N_{\rm MO}} z_i z_j \left( \psi_i \psi_j | \psi_j \psi_i \right)$$

$$\int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \psi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \psi_j(\mathbf{r}) \psi_i(\mathbf{r}')$$
(semi-)local
$$(semi-)local$$

$$(semi-)local$$

$$(semi-)local$$

$$(semi-)local$$

$$(semi-)local$$

Ascending towards the "heaven of chemical accuracy" [2,3]...

Combine (semi-)local XC and HFX in a constant ratio over all space

e.g. Becke 3-parameter hybrids [4,5], such as B3LYP [6]  

$$E_{\rm xc}^{\rm B3hyb} = E_{\rm xc}^{\rm LDA} + a_0 \left( E_{\rm x}^{\rm HFX} - E_{\rm x}^{\rm LDA} \right) + a_{\rm x} \Delta E_{\rm x}^{\rm B88} + a_{\rm c} \Delta E_{\rm c}^{\rm GGA}$$

Range-separated hybrids are under development in ONETEP

Linear-scaling Hartree-Fock exchange (LS-HFX)

HFX is challenging for O(N) DFT because ERIs are non-local

- ► (Semi-)local XC does not require Electron Repulsion Integrals
- ▶ BUT ERIs are needed to evaluate HFX for hybrids...

$$(\varphi_{\alpha}\varphi_{\delta}|\varphi_{\beta}\varphi_{\gamma})$$

$$\int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \, \varphi^*_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \varphi_{\delta}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \varphi^*_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}') \varphi_{\gamma}(\mathbf{r}')$$



### LS-HFX with NGWFs

The strictly localized NGWF basis presents unique challenges

$$E_{\rm x}^{\rm HFX} = -K^{\beta\alpha}(\varphi_{\alpha}\varphi_{\delta}|\varphi_{\beta}\varphi_{\gamma})K^{\delta\gamma}$$
$$= -K^{\beta\alpha}X_{\alpha\beta}$$

NGWFs produce sparse matrices & simplified integrals

$$\int \overbrace{\overset{a}{\bullet} \overset{\bullet}{\bullet} \overset{\bullet}{\bullet}$$

- BUT cannot use conventional ERI evaluation methods
- Straightforward HFX evaluation (via FFTs) is impractical [7,8]

An alternative scheme is necessary!

### LS-HFX with NGWFs

Defining features of the scheme [7]

 $X_{\alpha\beta} = (\varphi_{\alpha}\varphi_{\delta}|f_p)V^{pq}(f_q|\varphi_{\beta}\varphi_{\gamma})K^{\delta\gamma}$ 

- Spherical wave (SW) [9] resolution-of-the-identity (RI)
- Distance-based exchange cutoff

#### Expand NGWF products in SWs

- Strictly localized in a sphere
- Analytic Coulomb potentials
- "2-centre" fitting scheme



$$R_{\alpha\beta} > r_X \implies X_{\alpha\beta} = 0$$

$$f_p(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} j_{l_p}(q_p r) Z_{l_p m_p}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) & r < a \\ 0 & r \ge a \end{cases}$$

### Demonstration of O(N) scaling HFX



Linear-scaling is achieved, but with a large prefactor. . .

A few years later...

#### Single-point DFT energy with and without HFX



57 atom monomer from organic photovoltaic polymer

Before recent developments to significantly improve performance...

### Accelerated SW Coulomb metric matrix evaluation

V is central to the SW resolution-of-the-identity

$$V_{Ap,Bq} = \int d\mathbf{r} f_p(\mathbf{r}_A) g_q(\mathbf{r}_B) \qquad \qquad g_q(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{f_q(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$

- Integrand is highly oscillatory
- Closed-form solutions only for same-centre case (A = B)
- Must resort to numerical integration for "off-site" case  $A \neq B$

#### Main developer: James Womack

### Accelerated SW Coulomb metric matrix evaluation



New: 2Dn-1Da



- Computationally expensive
- Memory:  $O(N_{node}^3)$
- Bottleneck for HFX

- Significantly reduced cost
- Memory:  $O(N_{node}^2)$
- Small fraction of HFX cost

### Accelerated SW Coulomb metric matrix evaluation





Single inner loop V evaluation HFX energy

Iridis 5 1 node (2 × 20 cores) 10 MPI / 4 OMP

New scheme also makes much larger systems accessible... 13696 atoms, 1000 cores on Iridis 5: V evaluation took 30 min

### Improved parallelisation

#### **Old:** poor scaling for > 50 CPUs

- Convoy effects from mixing comms and compute
- Usual atom-to-MPI distribution unsuited for HFX
- OpenMP sections too finely grained / low-level

#### Main developer: Jacek Dziedzic

#### New: scales to 1000s of cores

- "Dry-run" to perform comms in advance
- Atom-pair-to-MPI distribution for HFX
- OpenMP sections moved to higher level
- Aggressive caching in thread-shared memory
- Cleverness: intelligently caching most used quantities

### Improved parallelisation

#### Protein "scoops" on Iridis 5 (April 2019)



#### Much larger systems are now practially accessible

### Setting up a calculation

Key settings when using a hybrid functional

- Spherical wave resolution-of-the-identity (SWRI) setup
- Hartree-Fock exchange (HFX) setup

Selecting an XC functional

```
6block swri
    for_hfx 3 10 V 12 12 WE2
  %endblock swri
4
  %block species swri-for hfx
8
  %endblock species_swri-for_hfx
9
10
11 hfx use ri
                         : for hfx
12 hfx max 1
                            3
13 hfx_max_q
                            10
14 hfx_cutoff
                           20 bohr
15 hfx_metric
                           ELECTROSTATIC
16
17 cutoff_energy
                           800 eV
  xc functional
18
                         : B3LYP
```

### SWRI setup

Setting

mvname  $l_{max}$ 

 $q_{\rm max}$ metric

Ni

No

flags

 $X_{\alpha\beta} = (\varphi_{\alpha}\varphi_{\delta}|f_p)V^{pq}(f_q|\varphi_{\beta}\varphi_{\gamma})K^{\delta\gamma}$ 

$$f_p(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} j_{l_p}(q_p r) Z_{l_p m_p}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) & r < a \\ 0 & r \ge a \end{cases}$$

%block swri myname  $l_{\max} q_{\max}$  metric  $N_i N_0$  flags %endblock swri %block species swri-mvname atom-label-1 atom-label-2 . . . %endblock species\_swri-myname Description label for SW basis/metric maximum angular momentum in SW basis >3 number of spherical Bessels per l >10 metric type numerical integration intervals >12 numerical integration polynomial order >12 metric matrix evaluation control atom-lahel-X atoms to include in SWRI

Recommendation short string V (electrostatic) see documentation all atom labels

### HFX setup

#### Keyword and value

hfx\_use\_ri myname hfx\_max\_l l<sub>max</sub> hfx\_max\_q q<sub>max</sub> hfx\_cutoff r<sub>X</sub> [unit] hfx\_metric metricname

#### Description

label for SW basis/metric to use max. ang. mom. in SW basis number of spherical Bessels per lcutoff for exchange interactions metric type (name)

#### Recommended value short string ≥3 ≥10 ≥20 bohr ELECTROSTATIC

$$E_{\rm x}^{\rm HFX} = -K^{\beta\alpha}(\varphi_{\alpha}\varphi_{\delta}|\varphi_{\beta}\varphi_{\gamma})K^{\delta\gamma}$$
$$= -K^{\beta\alpha}X_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$R_{\alpha\beta} > r_{\rm X} \implies X_{\alpha\beta} = 0$$



XC functional selection

# xc\_functional functional

Available hybrid functionals: B1LYP, B1PW91, PBE0, B3LYP, B3PW91, X3LYP

For 100% Hartree-Fock exchange, use HF

### Understanding the output

#### A successful calculation

Similar to local XC calculation with normal output detail

► Local XC ( $E_{xc}^{loc}$ ) and HFX ( $E_{x}^{HF}$ ) energies reported

ENERGY COMPO	NENTS (Eh)		-
Kinetic	: 27.3	5982130694516	L
Pseudopotential (local)	: -126.10	0605114635337	I
Pseudo (non-coul chg cor)	: 0.00	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Pseudopotential (non-local)	: 5.13	3945962391166	I
Hartree	: 48.59	9030602370854	L
Exchange-correlation	: -7.9	7965813472141	L
Hartree-Fock exchange	: -1.7	8484725447100	I
Ewald	: 14.80	0743332976961	Ι
Total	-39.9	7353625121082	L
			-
Integrated density	: 19.99	999999999999997	

### Understanding the output

SWRI details: metric matrix method, metric type, memory usage, progress evaluating metric matrix

```
SWRI: Initialising module (stage 1)...
SWRI: [hfx] Initialising (stage 1)...
SWRI: [hfx] - Using user-selected metric matrix evaluation scheme (2D numerical-1D analytic).
SWRI: [hfx] - Initialising Bessels (1_max=3)... done.
SWRI: [hfx] - SW batch size (tile width) set to 160.
SWRI: [hfx] - Initialising persistent cache structures... done.
SWRI: [hfx] - Initialising metric matrix... done.
SWRI: [hfx] Done.
SWRI: Stage 1 completed.
Sparse matrix initialisation ... done
SWRI: Initializing module (stage 2)...
SWRI: [hfx] Initialising (stage 2)...
SWRI: [hfx] - NL: Populating neighbour list: SWRI S ATOMS... done.
SWRI: [hfx] - Generating and communicating atomblock list.
SWRI: [hfx] 0 atomblocks read from disk.
SWRI: [hfx] 21 atomblocks to process.
SWRI: [hfx] Sorting atomblocks according to proximitiy to (0.000000,
                                                                          0.000000.
   0.000000).
SWRI: [hfx] - Calculating on-site elements of the metric matrix V... done.
SWRI: [hfx] - Calculating off-site elements of the metric matrix V.
```

### Understanding the output

#### SWRI details: metric matrix method, metric type, memory usage,

#### progress evaluating metric

+					-+
1	Spherical wave res. of identity C	hebysl	1ev engi	ine	
1	Estimated memory requirement per	MPI r	ank		1
+					-+
1	workspace atomblock	:	200.00	kB	Т
1	vtile	:	200.00	kB	1
1	recvtile	:	200.00	kB	Т
1	workers_done	:	24.00	В	1
1	atomblocklist	:	420.00	В	1
1	tilelist (root only)	:	252.00	В	Т
1	tile hash table (upper bound)	:	1.17	MB	1
1	nodes_template	:	162.00	kB	1
1	swop_values	:	648.00	kB	1
1	swy_values	:	648.00	kB	1
1	swhome_all_coeffs	:	25.31	MB	1
1	swpot_batch_coeffs	:	25.31	MB	1
1	all_atomblocks (indices)	:	252.00	В	1
1	my_atomblocks (indices)	:	252.00	В	1
1	num_q_per_1	:	48.00	В	1
1	atomblock rotation matrix	:	200.00	kB	1
+					-+
1	Estimated neak total ner MDT rank		54 00	MD	1

.....

SWRI: [hfx] 21 tiles to process (21 atomblocks x 1 batches) 15, in progress: SWRI: [hfx] Tiles completed: 0. left: 6. SWRI: [hfx] Tiles completed: 6. left: 9. in progress: 6. 12, left: SWRI: [hfx] Tiles completed: in progress: 6. SWRI: [hfx] Tiles completed: 18. left: 0. in progress: 3. SWRI: [hfx] - Filling the remainder by symmetry. SWRI: [hfx] - Writing for\_hfx.vmatrix to file "h\_bond\_B3LYP\_2Dn-1Da\_modified.for\_hfx.vmatrix"...done SWRI: Done. SWX: Initialising module... done.

### Tips for maximising performance

HFX is...

- Much more expensive than local XC
- Very memory-hungry (RAM)

Improve performance by...

- Always using the new metric matrix (2Dn-1Da) scheme (default)
- Choosing a sensible exchange cutoff  $r_X$
- Increasing the  $\frac{N_{\text{OMP}}}{N_{\text{MPI}}}$  ratio (more RAM per MPI process)
- Increasing per process cache limits to fully utilise node memory

cache_limit_for_swops	<pre>cache_limit_for_expansions</pre>
cache_limit_for_ps	cache_limit_for_ngwfs
<pre>cache_limit_for_dknblks</pre>	cache_limit_for_coeffs

These apply to version of HFX in v5.2 and earlier

### Further information

### For practical usage, see online documentation

"Spherical-wave resolution of identity (SWRI), Distributed Multipole Analysis (DMA), and Hartree-Fock exchange (HFx)"

### For theory and technical details, see Ref. 7

J. Dziedzic, Q. Hill, and C.-K. Skylaris, J. Chem. Phys. 139, 214103 (2013)

Publications on recent developments coming soon...

### Acknowledgements

#### Funding and compute resources

- EPSRC-funded CCP9 flagship (EP/P02209X/1)
- UK MMM Hub "Thomas" (EP/P020194/1)
- ► IRIDIS HPC Facility (Southampton)

### CCP9 flagship collaborators

- Nick Hine (Warwick, PI)
- Peter Haynes (Imperial, Co-I)
- Arash Mostofi (Imperial, Co-I)
- Chris-Kriton Skylaris (Southampton, Co-I)
- Jacek Dziedzic (Southampton/Gdańsk, RCo-I)
- Jolyon Aarons (Warwick, PDRA)
- Joseph Prentice (Imperial, PDRA)



Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council

# Southampton



### Imperial College London

### **Bibliography I**

- [1] N. Mardirossian and M. Head-Gordon, Mol. Phys. 115, 2315 (2017).
- [2] J. P. Perdew and K. Schmidt, Jacob's ladder of density functional approximations for the exchange-correlation energy, in *AIP Conference Proceedings*, edited by V. Van Doren, C. Van Alsenoy, and P. Geerlings, volume 577, pages 1–20, Antwerp (Belgium), 2001, AIP Publishing.
- [3] J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, J. Tao, V. N. Staroverov, G. E. Scuseria, and G. I. Csonka, J. Chem. Phys. **123**, 062201 (2005).
- [4] A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5648 (1993).
- [5] A. V. Arbuznikov, J. Struct. Chem. 48, S1 (2007).
- [6] P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski, and M. J. Frisch, J. Phys. Chem. 98, 11623 (1994).
- [7] J. Dziedzic, Q. Hill, and C.-K. Skylaris, J. Chem. Phys. 139, 214103 (2013).
- [8] Q. Hill, Development of more accurate computational methods within linear-scaling density functional theory, Ph. D., University of Southampton, Southampton, 2010.
- [9] P. D. Haynes and M. C. Payne, Comput. Phys. Commun. 102, 17 (1997).