Linear-scaling time-dependent density-functional theory (LS-TDDFT): Implementation and applications

T. J. Zuehlsdorff<sup>1</sup> N. D. M. Hine<sup>2</sup> M. C. Payne<sup>1</sup> P. D. Haynes<sup>3</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Cavendish Laboratory University of Cambridge, UK

<sup>2</sup>University of Warwick, UK

<sup>3</sup>Imperial College London, UK

**ONETEP Masterclass, September 2015** 

イロト 不良 とくほ とくほう 二日

#### Outline

Motivation

#### Linear-scaling DFT in ONETEP

Linear-scaling TDDFT

Converging targeted localised excitations

Applications

# Optical properties of large systems

#### Bacteriochlorophyll in FMO



- Effects due to protein environment
- Optical properties different from isolated molecule

figure taken from http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/fmo/

#### Semiconductor nanoparticle



- Surface effects and quantum confinement
- Optical properties different from bulk crystal

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

#### Linear Response TDDFT

Solve

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A}(\omega) & \mathbf{B}(\omega) \\ -\mathbf{B}(\omega) & -\mathbf{A}(\omega) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix} = \omega \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{c}'\boldsymbol{v}'}(\omega) &= \delta_{\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{c}'}\delta_{\boldsymbol{v}\boldsymbol{v}'}(\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{c}'}^{\mathrm{KS}} - \epsilon_{\boldsymbol{v}'}^{\mathrm{KS}}) + \mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{c}'\boldsymbol{v}'}(\omega) \\ \mathbf{B}_{\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{c}'\boldsymbol{v}'}(\omega) &= \mathbf{K}_{\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}'\boldsymbol{c}'}(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{cv,c'v'}(\omega) = \left\langle \psi_{c}^{\mathrm{KS}} \psi_{v}^{\mathrm{KS}} \left| \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} + f_{\mathrm{xc}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) \right| \psi_{c'}^{\mathrm{KS}} \psi_{v'}^{\mathrm{KS}} \right\rangle$$

Tamm-Dancoff approximation:  $\mathbf{Y} = 0$ ;  $\mathbf{B} = 0$ ;  $\mathbf{AX} = \omega \mathbf{X}$ Use iterative eigensolvers: Only the action  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{Ax}$  is required.

### DFT vs. Linear-scaling DFT





- KS-orbitals are delocalised over the entire system
- Keeping O(N) orbitals orthogonal to each other → O(N<sup>3</sup>) scaling

LS-DFT



- Use atom-centered nonorthogonal orbitals that are very localised
- Move from a KS state formalism to a density matrix formalism

#### Linear-scaling DFT in ONETEP

In linear-scaling DFT, the valence density matrix is expanded in terms of atom-centered localised functions  $\{\phi_{\alpha}\}$ 

$$\rho(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \sum_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{occ}} \psi_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathrm{KS}*}(\mathbf{r}') = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{P}^{\{\mathbf{v}\}\alpha\beta} \phi_{\beta}^{*}(\mathbf{r}')$$

 {φ<sub>α</sub>} are optimised *in situ* during a ground state calculation → minimal number is needed to span valence space

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\text{DFT}} = \boldsymbol{E}_{\text{DFT}} \left[ \boldsymbol{\mathsf{P}}^{\{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{v}}\}}, \{\phi_{\alpha}\} \right]$$

- Linear scaling is achieved by truncating the density matrix with distance → P<sup>{v}</sup> is sparse
- No reference to individual Kohn-Sham eigenstates and energies

### Conduction optimisation of phthalocyanine



 Optimisation of a second set of localised functions {χ<sub>α</sub>} and effective density matrix P<sup>{c}</sup> to represent low energy part of conduction space <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>L. E. Ratcliff, N. D. M. Hine, and P. D. Haynes, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 165131(2011)

# Optimisation of the Rayleigh-Ritz value in Kohn-Sham space

$$\omega = \min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^{\dagger} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}}$$

Differentiating the Rayleigh-Ritz value yields an energy gradient:

$$rac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \left[\mathbf{x}^{\dagger}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}
ight]\mathbf{x}$$

Write  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  in terms of an effective transition density  $\rho^{\{1\}}(\mathbf{r})$  and the Kohn-Sham eigenvalue differences

$$\boldsymbol{q}_{\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{v}} = \left(\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{c}}^{\mathrm{KS}} - \epsilon_{\boldsymbol{v}}^{\mathrm{KS}}\right)\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{v}} + \left(\boldsymbol{V}_{\mathrm{SCF}}^{\{1\}}\left[\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\{1\}}\right]\right)_{\boldsymbol{c}\boldsymbol{v}}$$

where  $\rho^{\{1\}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{cv} \psi_c^{KS}(\mathbf{r}) x_{cv} \psi_v^{KS}(\mathbf{r})$ 

# Transition density matrix in ONETEP

$$\rho^{\{1\}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{cv} \psi_c^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r}) x_{cv} \psi_v^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r})$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha\beta} \chi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{P}^{\{1\}\alpha\beta} \phi_\beta(\mathbf{r})$$

- Express the transition density in terms of density matrix P<sup>{1}</sup>
- Hole well described by  $\{\phi_{\alpha}\}$
- Electron well described by  $\{\chi_{\alpha}\}$
- $\rho^{\{1\}}(\mathbf{r})$  well described by  $\{\phi_{\alpha}\}$  and  $\{\chi_{\beta}\}$







# The Tamm-Dancoff TDDFT gradient in $\{\phi\}$ and $\{\chi\}$ representation

The TDDFT gradient  $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  in mixed  $\{\phi\}$  and  $\{\chi\}$  representation:

$$\mathbf{q}^{\chi\phi} = \mathbf{P}^{\{c\}}\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{KS}}^{\chi}\mathbf{P}^{\{1\}} - \mathbf{P}^{\{1\}}\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{KS}}^{\phi}\mathbf{P}^{\{\nu\}} + \mathbf{P}^{\{c\}}\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{SCF}}^{\{1\}\chi\phi}\mathbf{P}^{\{\nu\}}$$

- Fully O(N) if all involved density matrices are truncated
- Can be used to generate a gradient for conjugate gradient algorithm
- ▶ Multiple excitations: Optimise  $\{\mathbf{P}_i^{\{1\}}\}$  silmutaneously  $\rightarrow O(N_{\omega}^2)$  due to orthonormalisations

#### Full TDDFT: An effective variational principle

In full TDDFT, define an effective variational principle for the *positive* eigenvalues:

$$\begin{split} \omega_{\min} &= \min_{\{\mathbf{P}^{\{\rho\}}, \mathbf{P}^{\{q\}}\}} \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{P}^{\{\rho\}\dagger}\mathbf{S}^{\chi}\mathbf{q}_{\{\rho\}}^{\chi\phi}\mathbf{S}^{\phi}\right]}{2\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{P}^{\{\rho\}\dagger}\mathbf{S}^{\chi}\mathbf{P}^{\{q\}}\mathbf{S}^{\phi}\right]\right|} \\ &+ \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{P}^{\{q\}\dagger}\mathbf{S}^{\chi}\mathbf{q}_{\{q\}}^{\chi\phi}\mathbf{S}^{\phi}\right]}{2\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathbf{P}^{\{\rho\}\dagger}\mathbf{S}^{\chi}\mathbf{P}^{\{q\}}\mathbf{S}^{\phi}\right]\right|}\right\} \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}_{\{p\}}^{\chi\phi} &= \mathbf{P}^{\{c\}}\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{KS}}^{\chi}\mathbf{P}^{\{1\}} - \mathbf{P}^{\{1\}}\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{KS}}^{\phi}\mathbf{P}^{\{\nu\}} \\ \mathbf{q}_{\{q\}}^{\chi\phi} &= \mathbf{P}^{\{c\}}\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{KS}}^{\chi}\mathbf{P}^{\{1\}} - \mathbf{P}^{\{1\}}\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{KS}}^{\phi}\mathbf{P}^{\{\nu\}} + 2\mathbf{P}^{\{c\}}\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{SCF}}^{\{1\}\chi\phi}\mathbf{P}^{\{\nu\}} \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

#### Full TDDFT vs. Tamm-Dancoff: Bacteriochlorophyll



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

#### (10,0) Carbon nanotube: Linear scaling test



 Time taken for a single conjugate gradient iteration vs. system size

### Constraining the TDDFT transition density matrix

#### Fully dense *P*<sup>{1}</sup>





- P<sup>{1}</sup> filling: 100.0 %
- ω = 5.1950 eV
- $f = 0.177 \times 10^{-6}$
- 10th excitation converged

 $P^{\{1\}}$  only on one Benzene





- P<sup>{1}</sup> filling: 25.0 %
- ω = 5.1953 eV
- $f = 0.111 \times 10^{-6}$
- ► Lowest excitation converged

### Interactions between subsystems: Exciton coupling

Reintroduce subsystem coupling perturbatively:  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{tot}}^{\{1\}} = \sum_{i} \alpha_i \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}_i}^{\{1\}} + \sum_{j} \beta_j \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{B}_j}^{\{1\}}$ 



 $\alpha_i, \beta_j$  obtained in a single subspace diagonalisation as a post-processing step



#### Solvatochromic shift of alizarin (C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) in water

How much of the environment has to be treated explicitly in order to converge localised excitations of alizarin?



- Create a model system from a single classical MD snapshot
- ► All water within 12 Å of alizarin is included in the calculation ≈ 1800 atoms

### Solvatochromic shift of alizarin (C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) in water



- Strong solvatochromic shift of 0.12 eV due to charge-transfer delocalisation in P<sup>{1}</sup> onto the water
- Delocalisation confined to within 6 Å from alizarin ( ~ 300 atoms)

### The Fenna-Matthews-Olson (FMO) complex



- 7 Bacteriochlorophyll pigments per monomer
- Including protein environment  $\approx$  10.000 atoms per monomer
- ► Exciton dynamics studied with model Hamiltonians → accurate pigment site energies needed

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

figure taken from http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/fmo/

# Site energies from linear-scaling TDDFT: Case study of BChl site 1

Two model systems of the protein environment:



562 atoms 10 Å radius

1646 atoms 15 Å radius

#### Absorption spectrum, full TDDFT



Fully dense  $\mathbf{P}^{\{1\}}$ : large number of spurious charge-transfer states with local  $f_{xc}$  (34 states needed for 15 Å spectrum).

### Quantifying exciton delocalisation into the protein



- ► 12 Å radius of explicit protein environment necessary to converge localised site energies ≈ 1000 atom systems
- Constraining P<sup>{1}</sup>: Localised site energies become the lowest excited states of the system

#### Conclusion

- ► ONETEP provides an efficient framework for calculating low energy excited states in systems containing thousands of atoms (available features: (semi)-local functionals, PAW; Future work: Hybrid functionals, TDDFT forces → excited states dynamics)
- Fully linear-scaling for sufficiently large systems
- Truncation of P<sup>{1}</sup> can be used to converge targeted, localised excitations of larger systems
- Warning: QM/MM methods can require very large QM regions to converge environmental effects on localised excitations.

#### Acknowledgements

- This research was funded by the EPSRC grants EP/G036888/1, EPSRC Grant EP/J017639/1 and the ARCHER eCSE programme
- All calculations were performed using the ONETEP code: J. Chem. Phys. 122, 084119 (2005), www.onetep.org
- Further information and benchmark tests regarding linear-scaling TDDFT in ONETEP: J. Chem. Phys. 139, 064104(2013)



(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)