#### **Imperial College** London



#### **Introduction to linear-scaling DFT**



Peter Haynes (presented by Arash Mostofi) Imperial College London

#### **Kohn-Sham equations**



# **The origin of the** *O***(***N***3) problem**

- Physicists:
	- Typically employ large basis sets of simple functions e.g. plane waves
	- Computational effort dominated by FFTs
	- Asymptotic *N*<sup>3</sup> scaling from orthogonality constraint

# **The origin of the** *O***(***N***3) problem**

- Physicists:
	- Typically employ large basis sets of simple functions e.g. plane waves
	- Computational effort dominated by FFTs
	- Asymptotic *N*<sup>3</sup> scaling from orthogonality constraint
- Chemists:
	- Typically employ small basis sets of more complicated functions e.g. contracted Gaussians
	- Computational effort dominated by building the Fock matrix

$$
\left[-\tfrac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + V^{\text{eff}}_{\sigma}\right]\psi_{n\mathbf{k}\sigma} = \varepsilon_{n\mathbf{k}\sigma}\psi_{n\mathbf{k}\sigma}
$$

$$
\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + V_{\sigma}\right]\psi_{n\mathbf{k}\sigma} = \varepsilon_{n\mathbf{k}\sigma}\psi_{n\mathbf{k}\sigma}
$$

• No self-consistency

$$
\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + V\right]\psi_{n\mathbf{k}} = \varepsilon_{n\mathbf{k}}\psi_{n\mathbf{k}}
$$

- No self-consistency
- No spin

$$
\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + V\right]\psi_n = \varepsilon_n \psi_n
$$

- No self-consistency
- No spin
- Sample Brillouin zone at Γ only



- No self-consistency
- No spin
- Sample Brillouin zone at Γ only
- (Localised) orthogonal basis set



- No self-consistency
- No spin
- Sample Brillouin zone at Γ only
- (Localised) orthogonal basis set
	- *M* basis functions  $\rightarrow$  *H* is *M* x *M* matrix

$$
H_{ij} = \langle \phi_i | \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + V \right) | \phi_j \rangle = \sum_n c_{in} \varepsilon_n c_{jn}^*
$$
  
\n
$$
\rightarrow \text{full diagonalization } O(M^3)
$$



- No self-consistency
- No spin
- Sample Brillouin zone at Γ only
- (Localised) orthogonal basis set
	- *M* basis functions  $\rightarrow$  *H* is *M* x *M* matrix
	- *N* lowest states required
	- → iterative diagonalization *O*(*N*<sup>2</sup>*M*)

• Energy of the Kohn-Sham system:

$$
E = \sum_{n}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n
$$

 $\alpha$ cc

• Energy of the Kohn-Sham system: all

$$
E=\sum f_n\varepsilon_n
$$

- Introduce occupation numbers  $f_n$ :
	- 1 for occupied states
	- 0 for unoccupied states

• Energy of the Kohn-Sham system: all

$$
E=\sum f_n\varepsilon_n
$$

- Introduce occupation numbers  $f_n$ :
	- 1 for occupied states
	- 0 for unoccupied states
- Finite temperature:

$$
f_n = f(\varepsilon_n) \qquad f(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon - \mu}{h(T)}\right)}
$$

 $\mathbf 1$ 

 $\kappa_{\rm B}$ 

• Energy of the Kohn-Sham system:<br>all

$$
E = \sum_{n} f_n \varepsilon_n
$$

$$
E = \text{tr}\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \varepsilon_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & f_N \end{pmatrix}
$$

# **Off-diagonal representation**

$$
E = \text{tr}\left[\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \varepsilon_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & f_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & f_N \end{pmatrix} \right]
$$

• Trace is invariant under similarity transformation:

$$
c_{in} = \langle \phi_i | \psi_n \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_{ij} = \sum_{n} c_{in} f_n c_{jn}^*
$$

$$
E = \text{tr}(FH) = \sum_{ij} F_{ij} H_{ji}
$$

# **Density matrix**

- *F* is the density matrix
	- $-F$  commutes with  $H$  (simultaneously diagonalizable)
	- Trace of *F* is the number of electrons (sum of occupation numbers)
	- $-$  At zero temperature *F* is idempotent:  $F^2 = F$

• Solving the Schrödinger equation is equivalent to finding the *F* that minimizes *E* subject to the above conditions

Hierse & Stechel, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17811 (1994)



Hierse & Stechel, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17811 (1994)



#### Hierse & Stechel, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 17811 (1994)

TABLE I. Kohn-Sham energy errors for hydrocarbon systems, obtained from self-consistent iteration and/or orbital transfer.



- Implication for the density matrix:
	- In a local representation it is *sparse*
	- $\phi_i$  i.e.  $F_{ij} \approx 0$  for distant basis functions  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j$

- Implication for the density matrix:
	- In a local representation it is *sparse*
	- $-$  i.e.  $F_{ii} \approx 0$  for distant basis functions  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_i$
- In fact the density matrix decays exponentially: Brouder *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 046402 (2007)

- Implication for the density matrix:
	- In a local representation it is *sparse*
	- $\phi_i$  i.e.  $F_{ii} \approx 0$  for distant basis functions  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_i$
- In fact the density matrix decays exponentially: Brouder *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 046402 (2007)
- Decay rate depends upon
	- Band gap
	- Basis quality

Yang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1438 (1991) Yang & Lee, *J. Chem. Phys.* **103**, 5674 (1995)

- Consider subvolumes of the whole system
- Calculate contributions to the density (matrix)



ONETEP Master Class

• Trim the corners:



ONETEP Master Class



## **Fermi operator expansion**

Goedecker & Colombo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 122 (1994) Goedecker & Teter, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 9455 (1995)

# **Compatibility**

- Need to find *F* that commutes with *H*
- Any matrix *M* always commutes with:
	- The identity *I*
	- Itself i.e. *M*
	- $-$  Any power of itself e.g.  $M^2$ ,  $M^3$  etc.

# **Compatibility**

- Need to find *F* that commutes with *H*
- Any matrix *M* always commutes with:
	- The identity *I*
	- Itself i.e. *M*
	- $-$  Any power of itself e.g.  $M^2$ ,  $M^3$  etc.
- Expand *F* as a polynomial in *H* i.e.  $F \approx c_0 I + c_1 H + c_2 H^2 + \ldots + c_n H^n$ 
	- Coefficients are those from a power series expansion of the Fermi-Dirac distribution

# **Chebyshev polynomials**

$$
T_n(x) = \cos(n \arccos x)
$$
  
\n
$$
T_0(x) = 1
$$
  
\n
$$
T_1(x) = x
$$
  
\n
$$
T_{j+1}(x) = 2xT_j(x) - T_{j-1}(x)
$$

- Defined on [-1,1]
- Bounded between ±1

# **Chebyshev polynomials**





#### ONETEP Master Class

## **Chebyshev expansion**

• Scale and shift the Hamiltonian so eigenvalues lie on [-1,1]: 1  $0.8$ FERMI DISTR  $0.6$  $0.4$  $rac{1}{2}c_0I$  $\overline{F}$  $\approx$  $0.2$ 0.  $\boldsymbol{n}$  $-25$ -20  $-15$  $-10$ -5 10 15  $c_iT_i(H)$ 5 ENERGY in eV  $i=1$ 

FIG. 7. The Fermi distribution as obtained by a Chebyshev fit of degree 40 in the case of a diamond structure. The band gap is in between the two vertical lines.

# **Fermi operator expansion**

- Region over which expansion changes from 0 to 1 is the energy resolution  $\Delta \varepsilon$  (gap)
- Smaller energy resolution requires higher order expansion
- Use finite temperature distribution to avoid Gibbs oscillation
- In practice use error functions instead (decay faster to 0 and 1 away from gap)
- Rational expansion also possible

# **Density matrix minimization**

McWeeny, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **32**, 335 (1960) Li, Nunes & Vanderbilt, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 10891 (1993) Daw, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 10895 (1993)







• Apply it to the density matrix:

$$
F_{k+1} = 3F_k^2 - 2F_k^3
$$

• Iteration converges to 0 or 1 as long as:

$$
f_n \in \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)
$$

• Converges without "flipping" if:

$$
f_n \in \left[\frac{1-\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2}\right]
$$

# **Canonical purification**

Palser & Manolopoulos, *Phys. Rev. B* **58**, 12704 (1998)

- Start with Hamiltonian
- Shift, invert and scale so eigenvalues lie in [0,1]
- Apply purification transformation until convergence achieved



#### **Li-Nunes-Vanderbilt**

• Define a purified density matrix *P*

$$
P = 3F^2 - 2F^3
$$

• Minimize  $E = \text{tr}(PH)$  with respect to  $F$ 

• Truncate *F* to obtain linear scaling

#### **Li-Nunes-Vanderbilt**



ONETEP Master Class

Mauri *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 9973 (1993) Ordejón *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 14646 (1993) Mauri & Galli, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 4316 (1994) Ordejón *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 1456 (1995) Kim *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 1640 (1995)

- Works with Wannier functions rather than density matrix
- Imposes the orthogonality constraint by expanding the inverse overlap matrix about the identity:

$$
S^{-1} = [I - (I - S)]^{-1}
$$
  
= I + (I - S) + (I - S)<sup>2</sup> + ...  

$$
\approx 2I - S
$$

• Leads to a generalized functional:

$$
\Omega = 2 \sum_{n} \sum_{ij} c_{in}^* H'_{ij} c_{jn} - \sum_{nm} \sum_{ij} c_{in}^* H'_{ij} c_{jm} \sum_{k} c_{km}^* c_{kn}
$$
  
- where  $H' = H - \mu I$ 

- Quartic in the coefficients *c*
- Solve for localized orbitals to obtain linear scaling



ONETEP Master Class

- With localization constraints:
	- Large number of iterations required
	- Atom-centred Wannier functions can break symmetry
	- Local minimum so runaway solutions possible
	- Problems conserving electron number

Yang, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 9294 (1997)

#### **More information**

#### Stefan Goedecker "Linear scaling electronic structure methods" *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 1085 (1999)

David Bowler and Tsuyoshi Miyazaki "*O*(*N*) methods in electronic structure calculations" *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75**, 036503 (2012)